

Jake H. Waskett
Circlist - Yahoo Group
December 3rd 2003

JAKE W
9 DEC 03



Groups Home - Yahoo! - Help

Welcome, kalamus4 (kalamus4 ·
Kalamus4@netscape.net)

Start a Group - My Groups - Account Info - Sign Out

circalist · This is a pro-circumcision list.

Group Member [[Edit My Membership](#)]



Post Message

Post

Warning: If you reply to the message author or the group owner, your email address will be displayed in the message you send.

To: circalist@yahooogroups.com

From: kalamus4 <Kalamus4@netscape.net>

Date: Tue Dec 9, 2003 10:15 am

Subject: Re: [Moderation] Considering putting this list on partial moderation

FROM JAKE

Joe, I personally will look forward to reading your report, to see whether your experience at Fathermag has been anything like my own ongoing experience there as well as to further my own understanding. During the time I've spent there so far, I've been trying to get a better understanding of them and their thinking. They seem to share a number of interesting quirks. Of course, I've no idea whatsoever whether these people are typical of the anti-circ kind or not, but I can only go on what's available to me. The only thing that one can take seriously about this particular bunch is their anger. Their reasoning is so full of holes that it verges on the brink of collapsing, which is so easy to demonstrate that it's almost painful. And I get the distinct impression that some of them know this, too, but they turn a blind eye because it is justified in the name of stopping "mutilation". I can't help but wonder how many anticircs eventually "grow out of it". Many of them know the implications of those of us who are circumcised by choice and know that it is better. That's why they hate us so much, I think. It's been interesting to observe their reactions to my own posts. Some of them are clearly getting very agitated with the fact that I keep pointing out the flaws in their "reasoning", and bringing them back

What language is this post in? English

☒ Wrap message text

Send Preview Cancel

(CONTINUED OVER)

Copyright © 2003 Yahoo! Inc. All rights reserved.
Privacy Policy - Terms of Service - Guidelines - Help

OVER
240 077

DOWN TO REALITY WITH A THUD. THEY HAVE BEEN THROUGH
A NUMBER OF WAYS TO GET RID OF ME, AND ARE NOW
CURRENTLY FORMING A PACT TO IGNORE MY POSTS.

I DON'T BELIEVE PERSONALLY THAT IT WILL LAST, BUT I
CAN BUT HOPE THAT IT WILL. THEY REALLY DON'T
SEEM TO KNOW HOW TO HANDLE ME, SINCE I'M NOT
INTERESTED IN PERSUADING ANYONE ELSE TO GET
OUT, BUT JUST WANT TO EXPOSE THEIR LIES.

INCIDENTALLY, THERE IS ANOTHER ONE, GOING BY
THE NAME OF "KORYDON". HE'S ON THE MEMBER
LIST (HELLO, ROOD, BY THE WAY).

HTTP://GROUP.YAHOO.COM/GROUP/CIRGLIST/MEMBERS?
GROUP = SUB & START = 985.

MORE DETAILS SOME OTHER TIME. NEARLY BEDTIME.

REGARDS,
JAKE

FATHERMAG[HTTP://FORUM.FATHERMAG.COM/CIRC/074/FORUM/MESSAGES/170286.SHTML](http://forum.fathermag.com/circ/074/forum/messages/170286.shtml)JAKE

"I DIDN'T DISLIKE THE LOOK OF MY PENIS BEFORE I WAS CIRCUMCISED. I JUST LIKED THE CIRCUMCISED APPEARANCE MORE"

(IN RESPONSE TO A QUERY FROM ONE "SHAWN888")

HIS, JAKE'S, STATEMENT HELPS CONFIRM MY UNDERSTANDING THAT JAKE'S INTEREST IN CIRCUMCISION IS BASED COMPLETELY ON HIS LIKING OF THE APPEARANCE OF THE PERMANENTLY EXPOSED GLANS— AND THAT HIS FREQUENT REFERENCE TO "SCIENTIFIC" STUDIES IS MERELY A RUSE TO DEFLECT ATTENTION FROM THE FACT. I BELIEVE IT HELPS HIM RECONCILE HIS DISCOMFORT AT BEING, AT DOING SOMETHING (MUTILATING HIS PENIS), THAT IS IRRATIONAL.

ROOD

NOTE: THE DATE OF JAKE'S RESPONSE IS UNKNOWN BUT I COPIED IT ON THE BACK OF A PAPER DATED 11 NOV '03 — SO IT IS PROBABLY LATE 2003